Paracrine costimulation of IFN-γ signaling by integrins modulates CD8 T cell differentiation
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IFN-γ is a pleiotropic cytokine potentially produced by all immune cells. It is crucial for immune responses against tumors (1) and infections (2), and is implicated in many autoimmune diseases (3). IFN-γ uses the same signaling machinery to elicit distinct and diverse responses (4). It consistently binds to a single receptor composed of two chains, IFN-γ receptor 1 (IFN-γR1) and IFN-γR2 (5), and triggers a conserved Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) pathway (6). However, specificity of IFN-γ action is achieved by stochastic levels of protein expression (21), autocrine or paracrine feedback loops (22–24), or direct T cell–T cell (T–T) coregulation through soluble factors or synapse formation (25–29).

In this study, we took a top-down approach to study the direct involvement of IFN-γ in CD8 T cell differentiation in the context of LM infection. We determined that IFN-γ skews CD8 T cell generation toward memory generation during a specific temporal window. IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ-mediated T cell differentiation by IFN-γγc–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of the predominant role of IFN-γγc in CD8 T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure. Inhibition of IFN-γ–dependent T cell fate occurs through a paracrine “self-sufficient” mechanism, as CD8 T cells have a dominant sensitivity to their own IFN-γ, the first wave of which they produce within hours following antigen exposure.
effector generation by IFN-γ involves accelerated down-regulation of the IL-2 receptor chain CD25, a known requirement for CD8 T cell memory commitment (30). By using a combination of in vivo imaging and in vitro experiments, we determined that activated CD8 T cells rely on the integration between IFN-γR and integrin signaling, locally within T-T synapses to increase their responsiveness to IFN-γ through Src kinase signaling from integrins. Our data highlight a model by which the integrin-rich environment of T-T synapses specifically provides context and differentiation cues to newly activated CD8 T cells through specification of the signaling response to local IFN-γ.

**Results and Discussion**

**Regulation of CD8 T Cell Differentiation Through Early Secretion of IFN-γ.** To investigate the direct involvement of IFN-γ in CD8 T cell differentiation, we analyzed the effect of IFN-γR deletion in ovalbumin (OVA)-specific CD8 T (OTI) cells on their expansion following LM-expressing OVA (LMOVA) infection. We found that the number of IFN-γR− OTI cells at the peak of the effector response was 20-fold higher than that of their WT counterpart (Fig. 1A), showing that direct IFN-γ signaling restricts CD8 T cell expansion. Because CD8 T cell differentiation is a dynamic process but knocking out the allele is constitutive, we temporally inhibited IFN-γ with blocking Abs and scored OTI cell frequency. An augmentation of OTI numbers was observed when IFN-γ was blocked 24 h postinfection, but not later (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B). A similar increase in antigen-specific CD8 T cells following IFN-γ blockade at 24 h was found when the endogenous response was assessed (Fig. 1C), showing that this was not an artifact induced by transfer of transgenic T cells. This critical 24-h window corresponds to a first wave of IFN-γ production following LM infection (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Tracking OTI cells over time revealed that down-regulation of IFN-γ not only implicated IFN-γ expression specifically during the effector stage but also restricted memory generation (Fig. 1 D and E). Memory cells generated when early IFN-γ was blocked were able to expand normally upon rechallenge with LMOVA (Fig. 1F), but they displayed decreased IFN-γ and granzyme B production (Fig. 1 G and H). Altogether, we concluded that IFN-γ produced around 24 h after LM infection skews CD8 T cell differentiation toward memory.

The fact that early (24–48 h) blocking of IFN-γ increased CD8 T cell numbers starting at day 7 (Fig. 1D) suggested an indirect function of IFN-γ on cell expansion or contraction. Consistent with this, temporal IFN-γ blockade had no direct effect on OTI cell cycle, proliferation, or apoptosis (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 D–F), and it did not affect expression of apoptosis or inhibitory receptors (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 G and H) during early effector expansion. These data suggested that IFN-γ regulated CD8 T cell effector differentiation, per se, as opposed to cell expansion. Surprisingly, IFN-γ did not modulate the ratio between the transcription factor eomes (Fig. S2 A, Fig. S2D), but rather it regulated CD8 T cell polarization toward memory formation by modulating CD25 expression. This critical 24-h window corresponds to a first wave of IFN-γ production following LM infection (Fig. S2A), but it did not occur in a CD8 T cell population expressing CD25 after day 4 and therefore was not detected from day 7 and is consistent with IFN-γ antagonizing or targeting, the same pathway as inflammatory cytokines (31). Because inflammatory cytokines favor effector differentiation by prolonging the expression of the IL-2 receptor alpha chain CD25 (30, 32), we investigated the function of early IFN-γ secretion on CD25 expression. Regardless of IFN-γ blockade, virtually all primed OTI cells up-regulated CD25 2 d after infection, started to down-regulate CD25 after day 4, and no longer expressed CD25 by day 7 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1J). However, IFN-γ blockade resulted in a higher percentage of OTI cells expressing CD25 during the down-regulation phase, with a higher mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) (Fig. 1F and SI Appendix, Fig. S1J). This resulted in enhanced IL-2-driven signaling in OTI cells at this time, as analyzed by Stat5 phosphorylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S1K). A similar CD25 increase was found on endogenous CD8 T cells following IFN-γ blockade (Fig. 1J). Prolonged CD25 expression at day 5 is in agreement with increased effector and decreased memory expression detected from day 7 and is consistent with IFN-γ antagonizing, or targeting, the same pathway as inflammatory cytokines (33). Altogether, our data show that IFN-γ skews CD8 T cell differentiation toward memory formation by modulating CD25 expression.

**Spatiotemporal Behavior of IFN-γ-Producing Cells During LM Infection Suggests Paracrine IFN-γ Signaling in Early Activated CD8 T Cells.** As IFN-γ secretion 24 h postinfection regulated CD8 T cell differentiation, we characterized the cell types producing IFN-γ in situ at this time. Natural killer (NK) cells comprised 60% of IFN-γ-positive cells (Fig. 2A). Additionally, activated CD8 T cells accounted for nearly 20% of the total IFN-γ-positive cells (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S2A), and IFN-γ production by CD8 T cells followed overall the same biphasic pattern (Fig. 2B) seen for global IFN-γ production (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Early IFN-γ production by CD8 T cells was not only a feature of memory cells as previously described (34), because activated cells coming from the naive (CD44−) pool also contributed to IFN-γ production (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B), albeit in lower quantity (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C).

As IFN-γ derived from CD4 T cells is sufficient to mediate Th1 differentiation in the context of Leishmania major infection (35), we hypothesized that CD8 T cell-derived IFN-γ might likewise be the dominant source regulating OTI cell differentiation. In support of this, genetic ablation of IFN-γ only in OTI cells resulted in a greater number of effector T cells following LMOVA infection, almost to the same extent as seen for total Ab-mediated IFN-γ blockade (Fig. 2C). Although IFN-γ was
produced by endogenous CD8 T cells, surprisingly, it did not fully compensate for the absence of IFN-γ secretion by IFN-γ–OTI cells (Fig. 2C). This was not due to an overproduction of IFN-γ by OTI cells compared with endogenous T cells, as OTI cells required LFA-1 activation downstream of T cell priming (38). We hypothesized that a T-T contact was required to maximize IFN-γ signaling in activated CD8 T cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D). Our findings suggest that T-T contacts dictated the responsiveness of activated CD8 T cells to the IFN-γ they produce. These contacts rely on LFA-1 and ICAM-1 (26, 27, 37) and require LFA-1 activation downstream of T cell priming (38).

Cell Contacts Are Required to Maximize IFN-γ Signaling in Activated CD8 T Cells. Our findings suggest that T-T contacts dictated the responsiveness of activated CD8 T cells to the IFN-γ they produce. These contacts rely on LFA-1 and ICAM-1 (26, 27, 37) and require LFA-1 activation downstream of T cell priming (38). We hypothesized that a specific microenvironment providing other co-factors might be responsible for this alternate scenario. Twenty-four hours after LMOVA infection, IFN-γ–producing CD8 T cells were located in the white pulp, where they colocalize with other IFN-γ–producing cells such as NK cells that invaded the white pulp (Fig. 3A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A and B), indicating that CD8 sensitivity to their own IFN-γ was not due to a segregation between CD8 T cells and other IFN-γ–producing cells. Assessment of cytokine distribution at the subcellular level in situ revealed that IFN-γ was vesicular and typically directed toward the interface with other cells in OTI cells, while NK cells exhibited a more ubiquitous cellular localization pattern (Fig. 3C and D, SI Appendix, Fig. S3C, and Movies S1 and S2). We previously described that T-T interactions occur after priming in the context of vaccination (26), interactions that would be in agreement with the directional IFN-γ secretion observed specifically between OTI cells. Indeed, two-photon microscopy revealed that T-T contacts occurred following LMOVA infection (Movie S3) with a dwell time of interaction of 10 min, while NK cells display shorter contacts with T cells (Fig. 3E and F).

Fig. 2. Autonomous regulation of CD8 T cell differentiation through paracrine IFN-γ signaling. (A and B) Mice were infected with LMOVA and treated with brefeldin A 6 h before being killed. (A) Different cell populations among IFN-γ–positive splenocytes 24 h after infection were analyzed by flow cytometry. Splenocytes were first gated on IFN-γ–positive cells, and the different populations within the IFN-γ–positive cells were defined using the Abs NK1.1 (NK(T)), CD8, CD4, CD19 (B), and γδ TCR. (B) Percentage of CD8 T cells producing IFN-γ was analyzed by flow cytometry over time. Data are from at least three independent experiments (n = 6–8). (C) Mice bearing WT or IFN-γ–KO OTI cells were infected with LMOVA. When indicated, mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were transferred with 10^7 OTI-OTI or WT OTI-OTI, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative histograms show the percentage of OTI cells producing IFN-γ–OTI cells were stimulated with ionomycin (Pi) for 24 h, either separated or in coculture, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative histograms show the percentage of OTI-OTI cells producing IFN-γ–OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were transferred with 10^7 OTI-OTI or WT OTI-OTI, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative histograms show the percentage of OTI cells producing IFN-γ–OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A). Mice were treated with blocking IFN-γ 24 h postinfection (Ab). The graph shows the number of effector (KLRG1+) OTI cells 24 h after infection (Fig. 2A).
Src kinases (Fyn or Lck in T cells) in potentiating IFN-γ tested the function of the canonical integrin signaling intermediate defined by EC integration, p(Y701)Stat1 was located at the T-T contact interface in inhibitor treatment did not result in decreased cell clustering (Fig. 4C). Requirement of contact for maximum IFN-γ signaling was also not the result of IFN-γ-R down-regulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A), as observed for CD4 T cells differentiating into the Th1 subset (39). We thus concluded that cell-cell interactions potentiated the responsiveness of activated CD8 T cells to IFN-γ.

LFA-1 promotes cellular adherence and signaling in response to ligation (40), which could both potentially maximize IFN-γ signaling. We first addressed whether adherence and proximity were responsible for enhanced IFN-γ signaling by forcing OTI cells treated with LFA-1 blocking Ab ("LFA-1less") to cluster in an integrin-independent manner by using a DNA zippering method (modified from refs. 41, 42) (Fig. 4D). Although this method allowed LFA-1less T cells to cluster to the same extent as control T cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S4D), but it did not lead to increased sensitivity (EC50: LFA-1 Ab = 0.52 ± 0.17, LFA-1 Ab + oligos = 0.32 ± 0.18) or amplitude of p(Y701)Stat1 (Fig. 4E).

Conversely, addition of plate-bound coated integrin was able to rescue IFN-γ-induced p(Y701)Stat1 of LFA-1less OTI cells (Fig. 4F) (EC50: LFA-1 Ab = 4.1 ± 0.17, LFA-1 Ab + FN = 0.36 ± 0.22), suggesting that integrin engagement was sufficient to potentiate IFN-γ signaling in activated CD8 T cells. To further assess the sufficiency of integrins to mediate this effect, we used a system of beads where both IFN-γ and integrins were codeployed or delivered independently. Codeployment was required for maximum amplitude of p(Y701)Stat1 generation (Fig. 4G), suggesting that integrins had to colocalize with IFN-γR to enable signal integration, consistent with IFN-γR and ICAM-1 colocalization at T-T contacts (Fig. 4H and I).

 Altogether, we concluded that activated CD8 T cells responded to polarized engagement of integrin ligands and IFN-γ in a manner that costimulated IFN-γ signaling. We believe the term “costimulation” is warranted in this situation since LFA-1 engagement on its own had little effect on Stat1 phosphorylation.

Fig. 4. Contact-dependent IFN-γ signaling in early activated CD8 T cells. WT (A, B, H, and I) or IFN-γ−/− (A–C and E–G) OTI cells were stimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 24 h. Where indicated, cells were treated with LFA-1 blocking Ab (LFA-1 Ab) or control Ab (RatIgG2a) 5 h before harvest. The p(Y701)Stat1 (pY; A) and p(S727)Stat1 (pS; B) were analyzed by Western blot. Quantification (bottom) corresponds to the intensity ratio between phosphorylated and total Stat1. (C–F) Indicated amount of IFN-γ was added 20 min before harvest. Data are from three independent experiments. (C) Graph shows p(Y701)Stat1 according to the dose of IFN-γ. (D and E) LFA-1 Ab-treated cells were forced to interact in an integrin-independent manner using fatty acid-modified oligonucleotides for 3 h. (D) Cartoon illustrating the strategy of oligonucleotide coating and forced proximity. Fatty acid-linked DNA oligos (blue) were inserted in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane and stabilized with DNA oligo anchors (orange). Oligo-labeled T cells were forced to stay aggregated by using complementary oligos (blue and red), leading to DNA hybridization. (E) Graph shows p(Y701)Stat1 according to the dose of IFN-γ. The black line with circles represents mock-treated cells, the black line with triangles represents LFA-1 Ab-treated cells, and the gray line with squares represents LFA-1 Ab-treated cells with oligonucleotides (n = 6). (F) When indicated, cells were plated on fibronectin (FN) for 2 h before IFN-γ treatment. The graph shows p(Y701) Stat1 according to the dose of IFN-γ. The black line with circles represents mock-treated cells, the black line with triangles represents LFA-1 Ab-treated cells, and the gray line with squares represents LFA-1 Ab-treated cells coated plates (n = 6). (G) Cells were incubated with IFN-γ-coated beads (black line with circles), IFN-γ-coated beads plus FN-coated beads (black line with triangles), or beads coated with both IFN-γ and FN (black line with squares) for 20 min. The graph shows p(Y701)Stat1 according to the quantity of IFN-γ coated on the beads. (H and I) At 24 h, activated OTI cells stained for ICAM-1 (green, Left), IFN-γR1 (red, Center), and the nucleus (DAPI; blue in the merge, Right). (H) Representative image of T cell clusters. (Scale bars: 4 μm.) (I) Quantification of ICAM-1 and IFN-γR1 colocalization at T-T contacts. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

Integrin-Mediated Activation of Src Kinases Enhances IFN-γ Responsiveness of Activated CD8 T Cells and Restricts Effector Differentiation. To understand how integrin engagement potentiated IFN-γ signaling, we tested the function of the canonical integrin signaling intermediate Src kinases (Fyn or Lck in T cells) in potentiating IFN-γ signaling. We inhibited Src kinases using the inhibitor PP2 and compared this with inhibiting the JAK1/2 pathway using the selective inhibitor ruxolitinib. While IFN-γ signaling in naive OTI cells, which cannot make T-T contacts, was inhibited by Jak, but not Src, inhibitors (Fig. S4), maximum p(Y701)Stat1 in activated OTI cells was blocked by inhibitors of both pathways (Fig. 5B). Src kinase inhibitor treatment did not result in decreased cell clustering (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). Consistent with integrin and IFN-γ signal integration, p(Y701)Stat1 was located at the T-T contact interface and colocalized with the Src kinase Fyn (Fig. 5C and D).

Because integrin signaling was necessary to potentiate IFN-γ signaling in activated OTI cells, we hypothesized that inhibiting Src kinases specifically during the first wave of IFN-γ would mimic the effect of IFN-γ temporal blockade on CD8 T cell differentiation (Fig. 1B). Similar to IFN-γ blockade, injection of Src kinase inhibitor 24 h after LMOVA infection (Fig. 5E) resulted in nearly a doubling of the number of effector OTI cells (Fig. 5F) and an increase in the effector-to-memory ratio (Fig. 5G). Src inhibition did not affect apoptosis (Fig. 5H) but resulted in prolonged CD25 expression (Fig. 5I), phenocopying early IFN-γ blockade. The same effect on expansion (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B) and CD25 expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C) could be observed at the endogenous level. Finally, as Src kinases are also downstream of other events relevant to CD8 T cell activation (i.e., TCR triggering), we also controlled that the effect of the Src inhibitor on OTI cell effector expansion we detected in vivo was not due to an interference with TCR triggering. To do so, we interrogated whether the TCR component CD3 was clustered at the T-T interface, which would be indicative of signaling. We did not find any evidence of CD3 localization at T-T synapses in vivo.
infection (30). Although we do not know whether additional factors are required in vivo for controlling CD8 T cell fate.

Vitamin D3 interacts with integrins. The costimulation of IFN-γ by integrins by integrins is likely due to the role T-T synapses play as a "platform," fostering a network of diverse signals shared exclusively between T cells. Some of these may also be temporal and include contributions from other cell-cell contacts in vivo. Our study provides one instance of such a platform function. Importantly, where integrin activation is dictated by the encounter with the antigen early during priming and will reflect the strength of activation, IFN-γ secretion is determined by cell location and environment. Together, a platform of T-T synapses allows for those distinct signals to integrate themselves through costimulation of IFN-γ signaling by integrin coengagement. Although it is usually accepted that cytokine signals are tightly localized, affecting only cells near the cytokine source, cytokines can permeate a tissue and modify the majority of cells therein (50). The polarized secretion of IFN-γ in CD8 T cells argues for localized cytokine delivery, but our data do not not contradict the existence of IFN-γ permeation, as NK cells do not seem to secrete IFN-γ in a polarized manner. Overall, this might imply that NK cells would be the source of systemic IFN-γ (51), whereas low levels of IFN-γ produced by CD8 T cells would be specifically directed toward its target with signaling amplified or specified further by integrin coengagement. The fact that previous T cell activation is necessary for this (26) means that while IFN-γ might be spread throughout the spleen, only cells in the correct state of activation and engaging integrins will adequately respond to this cue. Overall, having methods to locally boost IFN-γ signaling by integrins at a synapse may optimize efficient

Discussion
To conclude, we provide evidence of context-dependent IFN-γ signaling resulting in control of the balance between effector and memory CD8 T cell differentiation. We demonstrated that early IFN-γ production by CD8 T cells acts in a paracrine manner to limit effector CD8 T cell differentiation, and relies on coengagement with integrins. The costimulation of IFN-γ by integrins at T-T synapses results in enhanced Stat1 phosphorylation, and is required in vivo for controlling CD8 T cell fate.

Altogether, our data argue that coengagement of IFN-γR and integrins at T-T synapses results in enhanced IFN-γ signaling. Although we do not know whether additional factors are required for signal integration, the fact that IFN-γR must be recruited to lipid nanodomains to elicit signaling (43) raises the possibility that in activated CD8 T cells, integrins recruit IFN-γR to specific lipid nanodomains at T-T synapses, enabling distinct downstream events. Consistent with this, we noted that conditions that could restrict plasma membrane fluidity, such as coating IFN-γ on beads or inserting lipid-DNA oligos in the plasma membrane, resulted in inhibition of integrin-mediated secretion to IFN-γ, but did not have a major effect on the increased amplitude of IFN-γ signaling. We speculate that this reflects the fact that reorganization of proteins at the plasma membrane is important for integrin-enhanced sensitivity to IFN-γ. Alternately, this could also reflect a requirement of endocytosis of the IFN-γR/IFN-γ complex.

How IFN-γ regulates CD25 down-regulation is unclear. The fact that we observe a lag of 4 d between IFN-γ secretion and CD25 down-regulation suggests that IFN-γ does not directly regulate CD25 expression. Alternately, it has recently been shown that transient IFN-γ exposure elicits long-lived inflammatory responses in cancer cells due to IFN-γ retention by phosphatidylserine (PS) on the surface of viable cells (44). As TCR activation induces PS exposure at the surface of T cells (45), a similar mechanism could lead to long-term exposure of T cells to IFN-γ.

IFN-γ is typically associated with proinflammatory processes, but it also has regulatory properties (46). Our data provide another example where IFN-γ can be considered as regulating inflammation, as it counteracts prolonged CD25 expression known to be potentiated by inflammatory cytokines (30, 32). The balance between the proinflammatory and antiinflammatory properties of IFN-γ could explain discrepancies between models, where IFN-γ limits effector expansion in some models (e.g., our study, refs. 47, 48), but not in all (e.g., refs. 24, 49). Our data demonstrating that integrin costimulation is required for limiting T cell effector generation suggest that the presence of cofactors represents one of a series of possible modifiers of IFN-γ signaling and function specification.

We also demonstrated that early IFN-γ production by CD8 T cells acts in a paracrine manner to limit effector CD8 T cell differentiation through integrin-mediated T-T contacts. However, it is important to note that blocking IFN-γ (this study) does not phenocopy blocking T-T contacts as a whole (26). Whereas integration of IFN-γ and integrin signaling pathways restricts T cell effector differentiation, T-T contacts promote both effector and memory T cell differentiation (5). This discrepancy is likely due to the role T-T synapses play as a "platform," fostering a network of diverse signals shared exclusively between T cells. Some of these may also be temporal and include contributions from other cell-cell contacts in vivo. Our study provides one instance of such a platform function. Importantly, where integrin activation is dictated by the encounter with the antigen early during priming and will reflect the strength of activation, IFN-γ secretion is determined by cell location and environment. Together, a platform of T-T synapses allows for those distinct signals to integrate themselves through costimulation of IFN-γ signaling by integrin coengagement.
T cell-mediated pathogen clearance programs while restricting harmful bystander responses.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All experiments involving mice were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California and in agreement with the United Kingdom Scientific Procedures Act of 1986.

Cell isolation, activation, and in vitro treatment. OTI cells were isolated from lymph nodes of 6- to 12-wk-old mice. Selection was carried out with a negative CD8 isolation kit (Stemcell Technologies). For in vitro experiments, cells were activated in vitro at low density with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (2 ng/mL) and ionomycin (20 ng/mL), and treated, where indicated, with 10 μg/mL anti-LFA-1 (M17.4; BioXCell), 10 μM Src inhibitor PP2 (Sigma), or 1 μM Jak inhibitor ruxolitinib (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 3-4 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. Then, cells were treated with the indicated dose of IFN-γ (Peprotech) for 20 min at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. In some experiments, cells were plated on fibronectin (EMD Millipore)-coated plates.

Infection and treatments. OTI cells (5 × 10⁶ cells for effector/memory assessment, 5 × 10⁴ cells for phenotyping at day 5, and 5 × 10⁵ cells for Hoetcht and proliferation experiments), isolated as described above, were transferred into recipient C57Bl6 mice by retroorbital or i.v. injection. Mice were infected 16 h later. Mice were given an i.v. injection of 10⁵ colony-forming units of LM expressing a secreted form of OVA (LMOVA) (SZ).

In some experiments, mice received one or two i.p. injections (separated by 1 wk) in a volume of 1 mL around the indicated fur point) of a hyperimmune matched control Ab (rat IgG1; BioXCell), anti-IFN-γ (XMG.1.2; BioXCell), one single injection of 125–250 μg of the Scr inhibitor PP2 24 h after infection, or one single injection of 250 μg anti-MHC class 1 (A68–8B.5.3; BioXCell) at 0 or 24 h after infection. For recall experiments, mice were rechallenged 50–60 d after the primary infection with i.v. injection of 10⁵ colony-forming units of LMOVA and analyzed after 5 d.
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